

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/367,052	KISHIMOTO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Zachariah Lucas	1648	

All Participants:

(1) Zachariah Lucas.

(2) Eugene T. Perez.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 & 9 August 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Written description rejections for derivatives and art rejection over Nagasawa

Claims discussed:

13, 16, 22

Prior art documents discussed:

Nagasawa

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On the 5th of August, the Examiner indicated that amendment of the claims to require that the polynucleotide of part (c) of the independent claims be from a murine cell would overcome the enablement, written description rejections of these claims.

However, upon further review, it was also indicated on the 9th that the amendments would not bring all of the claims into condition for allowance as they would not overcome the art rejection over Nagasawa. .